COGR - FEBRUARY 23, 2017 NIH SINGLE IRB POLICY: COSTING **PERSPECTIVE**

DIVISION OF GRANTS COMPLIANCE AND OVERSIGHT

OFFICE OF POLICY FOR EXTRAMURAL RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION



OVERVIEW

Overview

Context & Applicability

Costing Topics

Implementation Options



OVERVIEW

- Policy issued June 21, 2016
- Implementation date extended to September 25, 2017 (NOT-OD-17-027)
 - Effective for applications submitted on or after this date
 - Institutions have an additional 4 months



CONTEXT & APPLICABILITY

Applies to:

- Domestic sites of multi-site studies
- Each site conducting the same protocol involving non-exempt human subjects research

CONTEXT & APPLICABILITY

- Plan submitted with the application
- Requests for exceptions
 - Exceptions will be made when sIRB review would be prohibited by a federal, tribal, or state law, regulation or policy
 - All other exception requests not based on law/regulation/policy must obtain NIH approval



COSTING GUIDANCE

- Policy does not require sIRB costs to be direct charged.
 - Institutions retain flexibility in deciding how they will assign costs, in accordance with the Cost Principles.
 - Since cost principles remain unchanged, if using sIRB prior to the September 25 implementation date, applicants may choose to include sIRB costs in their direct cost budget.
- HHS Cost Allocation Services (CAS) supports guidance provided re: distinction between primary & secondary costs (NOT-OD-16-109)



COSTING GUIDANCE

• Primary activities:

 Activities associated with conducting the ethical review of the proposed research protocol and the review of the template informed consent document.

Secondary activities:

 Activities associated with the review of site specific considerations (unlike circumstances) for all of the participating sites.



UNIFORM GUIDANCE

• 2 CFR 200, Appendix III C.8.b:

 "Institutions should not change their accounting or cost allocation methods if the effect is to change the charging of a particular type of cost from F&A to direct, or to reclassify costs, or increase allocations from the administrative pools identified in paragraph B.1 of this Appendix to the other F&A cost pools or fringe benefits."

Certain sIRB costs may be charged direct without violating Uniform Guidance if:

- Institution can sufficiently differentiate the costs that are charged indirect vs. direct
- Costs incurred for the same purpose in like circumstances are treated consistently as either direct or indirect

DIRECT CHARGING OF COSTS

- NIH consulted with OMB and CAS concerning the option for recipients to direct charge certain costs associated with sIRB implementation
- Cost principles have always permitted recipients to classify and charge costs as direct
- This does not constitute a change in accounting or cost allocation methods
- OMB and CAS agreed with NIH's position that this is consistent with the cost principles and with the provisions of Uniform Guidance

IMPLEMENTATION OPTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

- Fee structure established by institution
- Recharge or service center (specialized service facility; see <u>45 CFR 75.468</u>)
- Remove all IRB costs from F&A pool
- Independent/commercial IRB
- Other options & ideas?

RESOURCES

Guide Notices

- sIRB Policy: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16-094.html
- Cost Scenarios: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-16-109.html

Resources

- Implementation FAQs: http://osp.od.nih.gov/office-clinical-research-policy/models-irb-review
- NEW: Cost FAQs: http://osp.od.nih.gov/sites/default/files/FAQs_on_sIRB_Costs.pdf
- SMART IRB Reliance Platform: https://smartirb.org/resources/

Mailboxes

- SingleIRBpolicy@mail.nih.gov
- GrantsCompliance@nih.gov

