# Overview of the NSPM-33 Standardization Disclosure Forms for the common Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending (Other) Support

September 23, 2022



#### Speakers



Jeffrey Friedland
Associate Vice
President Research
Administration
University of
Delaware



Craig Reynolds

Assistant Vice
President for
Research Sponsored Projects
University of
Michigan



Pamela Webb
Associate Vice
President for
Research
University of
Minnesota



Krystal Toups
Director, Contracts
& Grants
Administration
COGR



### Poll Question #1

Pick the answer below that best describes how familiar you are with the common disclosure forms released on Aug. 31, 2022.

- A. Very familiar. I've got my highlighted copies right next to me!
- B. Pretty familiar. I've read through it and have a good idea of the expectations.
- C. Just started reviewing it.
- D. Haven't had a chance to review it. Hope to learn more from this webinar.



## Poll Question #2

#### What is your general view of the common disclosure forms?

- A. Love them!
- B. Optimistic, they are an improvement.
- C. Still analyzing to understand the true impact.
- D. Worried, things are still unclear and confusing.
- E. Mixed.



#### **Presentation Overview**





#### Background

#### NDAA Section 223

Disclosure of Funding Sources in Applications for Federal Research and Development Awards

 OSTP shall ensure that the requirements issued by Federal research agencies are consistent

#### NSPM-33 & Implementation Guidance

Section 4(b)(vi) of NSPM-33 directs that "agencies should standardize forms for initial disclosures as well as annual updates...and should provide clear instructions to accompany these forms and to minimize any associated administrative burden.



## Overview of the Forms & Associated Documents

#### Federal Register Notice

https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-08-31/pdf/2022-18746.pdf

use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

The information collection request will be available on http://
www.regulations.gov. MSHA cautions the commenter against providing any information in the submission that should not be publicly disclosed. Full comments, including personal information provided, will be made available on www.regulations.gov and www.regulations.gov and www.regulations.gov.

The public may also examine publicly available documents at DOL-Mine Safety and Health Administration, 201 12th South, Suite 4E401, Arlington, VA 22202–5452. Sign in at the receptionist's desk on the 4th floor via the East elevator. Before visiting MSHA in person, call 202–693–9455 to make an appointment, in keeping with the Department of Labor's COVID–19 policy. Special health precautions may be required.

Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION section of this notice.

#### III. Current Actions

This request for collection of information contains provisions for Cleanup Program for Accumulations of Coal and Float Coal Dusts, Loose Coal, and Other Combustibles. MSHA has updated the data with respect to the number of respondents, responses, burden hours, and burden costs supporting this information collection recover.

Type of Review: Extension, without

#### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (22-063)]

Notice of Intent To Grant an Exclusive, Co-Exclusive or Partially Exclusive Patent License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Grant exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive, coexclusive or partially exclusive patent license to practice the inventions described and claimed in the patents and/or patent applications listed in SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION below.

DATES: The prospective exclusive, coexclusive or partially exclusive license may be granted unless NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument, no later than September 15, 2022 that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements regarding the licensing of federally owned inventions as set forth in the Bayh-Dole Act and implementing regulations. Competing explications consolited and required by

applications completed and received by NASA no later than September 15, 2022 will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive license. Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act.

This notice of intent to grant an exclusive, co-exclusive or partially exclusive, patent license is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective license will comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at http:// technology.nasa.gov.

#### Helen M. Galus.

Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property. [FR Dec. 2022–18793 Filed 8–30–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comment Regarding Common Disclosure Forms for the Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending (Other) Support

AGENCY: National Science Foundation. ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the National Science Foundation (NSF), on behalf of the National Science and Technology Council's (NSTC) Research Security Subcommittee, is soliciting public comment on common disclosure forms for the Biographical Sketch and Current and Pending (Other) Support sections of a research application. An

#### Common Biosketch Format

https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rese archprotection/FederalRegisterBiographic alSketchfinal.pdf

well as when updates are required in the application and award lifecycle. A final column identifies activities that are not required to be reported.

Individuals are reminded not to submit any personal information in the biographical sketch. This includes items such as: home address, home telephone, fax, or cell phone numbers, them e-mail address; driver's license number, marital status; personal hobbies; and the like. Such personal information is not appropriate for the biographical sketch and is not relevant to the merits of the proposal. The Federal research funding agency is not responsible or in any way liable for the release of such material.

The format of the biographical sketch is as follows:

\* = requir

#### Identifying Informati

\*Name: Enter the name of the senior/key person (Last name, First Name, and Middle Name, including any applicable suffix).

#### Data Element Spreadsheet

https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/researchprotection/Biosketch CPS Fields 8.10.

22 for FR.xlsx

Г		-	Month and Year the Degree			the degree rec
9		H	receipt date)	MMAYYYY		the month and the field of stud
10		ľ	Field of Study			
Г		-				A list, in reverse academic, prof
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		L	Start Date	YYYY		
12	Appointments and Positions		End Bate	YYYY	Yes	For each entry
13			Appointment or Position Title	-		Start date: YYY
14			Name of Organization	-		End date: YYY
8		ŀ	Department (if applicable)	C. C. ID. I. DAG IC.		Appointment of
ь			Location of Organziation	City, (Statel Province [XX], (Country		Alist of product
17	Products.		Names of Authors	Last, First Initial		A list of product
18			Product Title			roublications, o
19			Date of Publication or Release   DDIMMV^^^/ Website URL		Yes	ruebsite(s) or or
20						technologies o
21			Product Persistent Identifier	URL, e.g.: https://doi.org/10.NNNNNNNNNNN		inventions, pat
22			Other Relevant Citation		nother products	
23	Certification		Signature		No	When the indivi-
24	Lettification		Date	DDIMMYAAA	NO	omissions may b
_						
ш	Key					
L	any number					
⊫	any letter					
Н	month	-				
	year					
	if applicable day of month	+				
	day of month	1				
_						

#### Common Current and Pending (Other) Support

https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/researchprotection/FederalRegisterCPSfinal.pdf

kind contributions using the format specified below.

Consistent with NSPM-33, individuals are required to disclose contracts associated with participation in programs sponsored by foreign governments, instrumentalities, or entities, including foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs<sup>3</sup> Further, if individuals receive direct or indirect support that is funded by a foreign government-sponsored talent

In accordance with the NSPM-33 implementation Guidance, a "Foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment program" is defined as an effort organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government, or a foreign government instrumentality or entity, to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regovernment-sponsored talent reasonable). Some foreign convenience or authority or professionals or students (regovernment-sponsored talent programs or whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign opernment-sponsored talent

#### Disclosure Table

https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-08-31/pdf/2022-18746.pdf

#### Table Ke

- = for new support only
- ♦ = If undisclosed at the time of application submission

Type of Activity	Biographical Sketch	Current & Pending Support	Equipment & Other Resources	Project Reports	Information Term & Condition	Disclosure Not Required
Professional Preparation (e.g., education and training)	~					
Academic, professional <sup>2</sup> or institutional appointments and positions, whether or not remuneration is received, and, whether full-time, part-time, or voluntary	~				CO	GR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to 42 U.S.C. §§ 6805, "current and pending support": (A) means all resources made available, or expected to be made available, on individual in support of the individual's research and development efforts, regardless of (i) whether the source of the resource is foreign or domestic. (ii) whether the resource is nade available through the entity applying for a research and development award or directly to the individual; or (iii) whether the resource has monetary value, and (ii) includes in-kind contributions requiring a commitment of time and directly supporting the individual's research and development efforts, such as the provision of office or laboratory space, equipment, supplies, employees, or students.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In accordance with the NSPM-33 Implementation Guidance, senior personnel typically do not include graduate students.

#### Federal Register Notice<sup>1</sup>

- Published August 31, 2022 <a href="https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-08-31/pdf/2022-18746.pdf">https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2022-08-31/pdf/2022-18746.pdf</a>
- Intent to seek approval to establish a common disclosure forms information collection for three years
- Addition of agency-specific data elements and definitions must be cleared by OMB/OIRA prior to implementation
- View & Comment by 10/31 Input is welcome on any aspect of the proposed common disclosure forms – See notice for additional requirements for comment
- NSF will prepare and request OMB clearance of the forms
- Per Jean Feldman; each agency will implement the forms and timelines will vary.
- Notice includes an estimation of burden
  - Estimation is 1-hour for Biographical Sketch
  - Estimation is 1-hour for Current and Pending Support



#### Common Biographical Sketch Form <sup>2,3,4</sup>

- Separate sections for each senior/key person's
  - Identifying Information
  - Primary Organization and Location
  - Professional Preparation
  - Appointments and Positions
  - Products
  - Certification



#### Things about the Biosketch we are thankful for

- Definition of senior/key person includes individuals designated by the applicant organization and approved by the federal funding agency
- Limits on agency variations; must be coordinated through the NSTC
- Addition of agency-specific data elements and definitions must be cleared by OMB/OIRA prior to implementation

#### Significant changes

- Senior/Key Person Persistent Identifier (optional)
- Senior/Key Person Certification



#### Places where clarification would be helpful

- Per the instructions, all academic, professional, or institutional appointments and positions must be listed
- With regard to professional appointments, however, only current domestic and foreign professional appointments outside of the individual's academic, professional, or institutional appointments at the proposing organization must be listed
- Said more simply, list all academic and institutional appointments, but only current outside professional appointments



- Places where clarification would be helpful (cont.)
  - Instructions state appointments and positions include any titled academic, professional, or institutional position.
    - Does this imply untitled positions do not need to be listed?
    - What are the criteria for a titled position? For example, is service on a SAB a titled position? How about an editorial board? What about ownership of a company

#### Inconsistencies

Instructions do not identify the senior/key person certification as being required

- Things we wish we knew but don't
  - Plans for individual agency variances (e.g., personal statement, synergistic activities)
  - Is there a standard page limit?
  - Foreign Talent Recruitment Program Appointments



#### Discussion



#### Biographical Sketch Discussion / Institutional Considerations

- Ensuring senior/key persons all have a PID
- Training for using system that hosts Biosketch
- Lead time to implement
- Administrative burden
- Due diligence Institutions have paid fines/ settlements for, in part, taking the word of senior/key persons
- Congruence between Biosketch and institutional databases/records (e.g., COI/COC disclosures)



## Poll Question #3

How many person-hours on average do you estimate it takes to complete the Biographical Sketch for the <u>first time</u>?

- A. Less than 30 minutes.
- B. More than 30 minutes but less than an hour.
- C. About an hour.
- D. More than an hour but less than 2 hours.
- E. 2 hours or more.



## Poll Question #4

How many person-hours on average do you estimate it takes to <u>update</u> the Biographical Sketch?

- A. Less than 30 minutes.
- B. More than 30 minutes but less than an hour.
- C. About an hour.
- D. More than an hour but less than 2 hours.
- E. 2 hours or more.



#### Common Current and Pending (Other) Support Form<sup>2,3,4</sup>

- Separate sections for each senior/key person's
  - Identifying Information
  - Primary Organization and Location
  - Project/Proposals (Current and Pending)
  - In-Kind Contributions
  - Certification
  - Foreign Talent Recruitment Program Disclosure
  - Startup company based on non-organization-licensed IP



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/nspm\_disclosuretable/nspm33\_disclosuretable\_sept2022.pdf

- Things about the C&P (Other) Support we are thankful for
  - Definition of senior/key person includes individuals listed by the applicant organization **and** approved by the federal funding agency, similar to biosketch
    - And that graduate students are normally NOT included
  - Addition of agency-specific data elements and definitions must be cleared by OMB/OIRA prior to implementation
  - Copies of foreign contracts are not uniformly / automatically required to be attached (instead, will be an agency-specific requirement)
  - Improved clarity around not needing to report consulting performed in accordance with University's Outside Activities policy

#### Significant changes

- Senior/Key Person Persistent Identifier (optional)
- Senior/Key Person Certification (mandatory, criminal penalties)
- Additional place of performance data elements (city, state, country or virtual)
- Reporting definitions for gifts and consulting
- Reporting of Startup company based on non-organization-licensed IP
- Report in-kind if support is from an internal source (as well as external)



#### Places where clarification would be helpful

- Consulting language continues to cause some confusion (FAQ?)
- In-kind contribution definition is not as clear as it might be
  - Template/instructions defines reportable in-kind as requiring a commitment of time and directly supporting the individual's R&D efforts (good)
  - In-Kind Contributions detailed instructions and Excel spreadsheet require disclosure of ALL in-kind contributions "related to current and pending support"
  - Includes in-kind resources from <u>proposing</u> organization
    - Does this include students?



- Places where clarification would be helpful (cont.)
  - In-kind contributions remain unclear/challenging to comply
    - Many institutions don't have a tracking tool for "in-kind"
    - Many in-kind contributions do not have defined:
      - Time commitments ("time needed to use item" or "defined % of effort?"
      - Start/end dates
      - Known dollar values ("use reasonable estimate" approach is neither practicable nor verifiable)
    - There are no minimal dollar thresholds for in-kind despite broader definition
    - Does the administrative burden warrant the benefit of collection of in-kind information (especially in-kind from the proposing organization?)

#### Places where clarification would be helpful (cont.)

- Unrestricted gifts do not require disclosure. "If an item or service meets the definition of a gift, it is not reported."
- A gift by definition is given without expectation of anything in return. (Corollary is that if there is a quid pro quo, it isn't a gift and requires reporting?)
  - "A gift includes any gratuity, favor, discount, entertainment, hospitality, loan, forebearance, license, special access, equipment time, samples, research data, or other item having monetary value. A gift also includes services as well as gifts of training, transportation, local travel, lodging, meals, research hours, whether provided in-kind, by purchase of a ticket, payment in advance, or reimbursement after the expense is incurred.
- Gifts often have standard stewardship requirements (e.g. courtesy progress or financial reports) – that should not be considered quid pro quo
- Should the determination of what is a gift instead use IRS definitions?



#### Places where clarification would be helpful (cont.)

- Reporting of Startup company based on non-organization-licensed IP
  - Examples; what is the utility of collecting this information?

#### Inconsistencies needing reconciliation

- Instructions indicate Person-Month(s) for direct support is not required; however, the data element sheet requires it.
- In kind definition differs in instructions vs the disclosure table



- Things we wish we knew but don't
  - Foreign Talent Recruitment Program reporting
    - How should senior/key persons ascertain whether "support is provided through an intermediary"?
    - What is foreign government "affiliated" activity?
  - Reporting for postdocs, students, or visiting scholars
    - Still a bit confusing given all the various permutations
    - What would be an objective way to make and document a determination that something did not need to be reported?



#### • In general things we wish we knew but don't

- What is the exact individual certification language? Any consideration given for unintentional errors and omissions? Under what circumstance will criminal penalties be deployed or considered?
- Where will the CHIPS and Science Act requirement be addressed for (1)
  individuals to certify in each proposal (and annually thereafter) they are not a
  party to a malign foreign talent recruitment program, and (2) applicants to certify
  each covered employee has been made aware of the requirements to disclose
  same?
  - If included in RPPRs, institutions may not have an opportunity to see the senior/key person's certifications in this regard
  - Special arrangement for forward funded awards or ones in NCTE status?



#### Discussion



#### **Current and Pending (Other Support)/ Institutional Considerations**

- Inability to independently verify accuracy of all of the information on the C&P form
- Degree of diligence expected to verify congruence with University records (COI/COC disclosures, merit/tenure review reports, reports of outside professional activities, unfunded (e.g. MTAs or DUA) agreements, internal grant funding
- Degree of diligence expected to detect/verify changes that occur between reporting cycles
- Allow use of \$0 or NA for in-kind contributions
- Education for researchers on what needs to be reported including malign FTRP (particularly items that administrators cannot assist with)
- Tracking annual malign FTRP certifications
- Documenting senior/key persons made aware of required disclosure if party to a malign FTRP
- Lead time to implement
- Administrative burden



#### Poll Question #5

How many person-hours on average do you estimate it takes to complete the Current and Pending (Other) Support for the <u>first time</u>?

- A. Less than 30 minutes.
- B. More than 30 minutes but less than an hour.
- C. About an hour.
- D. More than an hour but less than 2 hours.
- E. 2 hours or more.



## Poll Question #6

## How many person-hours on average do you estimate it takes to <u>update</u> the Current and Pending (Other) Support?

- A. Less than 30 minutes.
- B. More than 30 minutes but less than an hour.
- C. About an hour.
- D. More than an hour but less than 2 hours.
- E. 2 hours or more.



#### Considerations for Effective Change Management

- 1. Clean Slate The common forms should be a "Clean Slate" and the baseline for operational forms, FAQs, etc.
- **2. Need for Further Clarification** Desire for clear unambiguous definitions, terms, and reporting requirements.
- 3. Agency Variations & Deviations
  - Forms and associated data elements/definitions should be constant across federal agencies. Agency specific variations should be identified as such and their data elements collected separately. The timing of any subsequent agency changes should be coordinated and infrequent as possible.
  - Harmonization of reporting timelines. Can "prior to award of support" and "at any subsequent time the agency determines appropriate" be standardized across agencies to JIT, RPPR/Annual and mid-project awareness of a disclosure omission?
- **4. Administrative Burden** Accurate estimation of administrative burden and considerations to minimize the burden.
- **5. Great Progress and Effort -** This is positive advancement in harmonization, and we look forward to working with the agencies.



#### COGR Response

- We hope many institutions will comment; comments must be received by October 31, 2022
- COGR Comment Letters
- Feedback and comments may be sent to ktoups@cogr.edu



## Additional Comments, Feedback, Questions?

Krystal Toups, Director of Contracts & Grants Administration: ktoups@cogr.edu

